

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/827,239	WHITTAKER, EDWARD J.W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terry L. Englund	2816	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Now allowed

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(3) _____.

(2) Applicant's Rep David Zibelli (Reg. No. 36,394.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2006

Time: 11:50 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 112 related

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Terry L. Englund
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to suggested changes to address/correct inadvertent type oversights within the Aug 2nd amendment. The change approval, and an extension of time, were granted on a 4:34 PM telephone message. See the Examiner's Amendment, and Response to Amendment/Drawings, for details..